सीएसआईआर–केन्द्रीय कॉच एवं सिरामिक अनुसंधान संस्थान
196, राजा एस. सी. मल्लिक रोड, यादवपुर, कोलकाता - 700 032, भारत
CSIR - CENTRAL GLASS & CERAMIC RESEARCH INSTITUTE
196, Raja S. C. Mullick Road, Jadavpur, Kolkata-700 032, India

आरटीआई पंजीकरण सं / RTI Reg No : CGCRI/R/X/24/00003 दिनांक/ Date: 17/01/2024
18

सेवामें/To

Ravindra kumar Singh,
APRT.No. 5A, 2nd Floor, RAIL COLONY BARMASIA,
DHANBAD CUM KENDUADIH,
JHARKHAND, Pin:826 001.

Sub: RTI Query vide Registration Number: CGCRI/R/X/24/00003 RTI Reg Sl No: 480

महोदय / Sir,

सूचना की अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत पंजीकरणसंख्या : CGCRI/R/X/24/00003 दिनांक 12/01/2024 द्वारा प्राप्त किये गए आवेदन के सन्दर्भ में सम्पर्कित सूचना निम्नरूप से पेश है / I am to refer to your Request for Information under RTI Act, 2005, received vide Registration Number: CGCRI/R/X/24/00003 dated 12/01/2024 and the reply is / are as follows:

Reply to Query No. 1 to 6 : CIC has decided vide Citation on Ms. Mamta Shah Vs. Dept. of Post, File No. CIC/BS/A/2014/001872/8271 that "Information relating to educational qualification of candidates is in the nature of personal information about third party & cannot be brought into the public domain to which anybody could have access, unless sought for a larger public purpose" (copy enclosed).

18/01/2024

(मुनमुन गुप्ता / Munmun Gupta)
CPIO (Admn.) and Administrative Officer
196, राजाएस.सी. मल्लिकरोड, कोलकाता– 700 032/196 Raja S.C. Mullick Road/ Kolkata – 700 032
दूरभाषसं./Telephone No : (Office): (033) – 2322 - 3517 / 3416

Encl.: As stated above.

Copy to:

Shri Sitendu Mandal, Chief Scientist & First Appellate Authority (FAA)
Address: CSIR- CENTRAL GLASS & CERAMIC RESEARCH INSTITUTE
196 Raja S.C. Mullick Road/ Kolkata – 700 032. Phone No: (033) – 23223473.

RTI Foundation Of India

http://www.rtifoundationofindia.com

CIC: Information relating to educational qualification of candidates is in the nature of personal information about third party & cannot be brought into the public domain to which anybody could have access, unless sought for a larger public purpose

27 Aug, 2015

Information sought:

The appellant sought information regarding names of the boards from where the applications received for the appointment of BPM and name of the board from which applicants were selected, in respect of present running process of appointment and the previous process of appointment.

Grounds for the Second Appeal: The CPIO has not provided the desired information.

Relevant Facts emerging during Hearing: The following were present

Appellant: Ms. Mamta Shah through VC

Respondent: Mr. T S Gorai CPIO's representative through VC

The appellant stated that she wants the name of the Board(s) which issued the matriculation certificate to the candidates selected as BPM in Dumka division during the year 2011-12. The CPIO's representative stated that they have already intimated the appellant that the information relates to third party(s) and is exempted from disclosure under Section 8(1)(j) Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, there shall be no obligation to give any citizen, information which relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, or which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual unless the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer or the appellate authority, as the case may be, is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information: Provided that the information which cannot be denied to the Parliament or a State Legislature shall not be denied to any person. of the RTI Act. The appellant pointed out that reply to the RTI application was given after a delay of six months. The CPIO's representative explained that a large number of RTI applications had to be replied; however, as soon as a reminder was received the reply was given. The appellant pleaded that some compensation should be awarded for the harassment caused to her due to the delay in replying to the RTI application.

Decision notice:

Information relating to educational qualification of candidates is in the nature of personal information about third party and cannot be brought into the public domain to which anybody could have access, unless the petitioner is able to establish that the information sought is for larger public purpose. The appellant has not received the RTI reply timely. For the detriment caused she deserves to be compensated, therefore in exercise

of the powers vested in the CIC under section 19(8)(b) In its decision, the Central Information Commission or State Information Commission, as the case may be, has the power to require the public authority to compensate the complainant for any loss or other detriment suffered; of the RTI Act, we direct the department to compensate her by an amount of Rs.1000/- for the inconvenience and detriment caused to her. Accordingly, the CPIO should ensure that this amount is remitted to the appellant by demand draft/pay order within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order. **The appeal is disposed of accordingly.**

BASANT SETH

Information Commissioner

Citation: Ms. Mamta Shah v. Department of Posts in File No. CIC/BS/A/2014/001872/8271
